

# Analysis of Algorithms I: Edmonds-Karp and Maximum Bipartite Matching

Xi Chen

Columbia University

Last week we discussed the Ford-Fulkerson method:

- 1 set  $f$  to be the zero flow
- 2 while there exists a simple path  $p$  from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G_f$  do
- 3     use  $p$  to modify  $f$  and increase its value by  $c_f(p)$

We proved the max-flow min-cut Theorem and it implies that when Ford-Fulkerson stops (meaning there is no path  $p$  from  $s$  to  $t$  or  $t$  is not reachable from  $s$  in  $G_f$ , the residual graph with respect to the current flow  $f$ ), we have found a max flow  $f$ .

However, the Ford-Fulkerson method has very bad worst-case running time. In this class we show that if the path from  $s$  to  $t$  we pick in each while-loop is not just an arbitrary path from  $s$  to  $t$ , but one that minimizes the number of edges (or hops), then the number of while-loops is bounded from above by  $O(nm)$ .

## Edmonds-Karp:

- 1 set  $f$  to be the zero flow
- 2 while  $t$  is reachable from  $s$  in the residual graph  $G_f$  do
- 3     find a shortest path (number of edges!)  $p$  from  $s$  to  $t$
- 4     use  $p$  to modify  $f$  and increase its value by  $c_f(p)$

Clearly we can find a shortest path from  $s$  to  $t$  using BFS in time  $O(n + m) = O(m)$ . Here the equation follows from the assumption that every vertex  $v \in V$  is reachable from  $s$  in  $G$  so  $m \geq n - 1$ . If we can show that the number of while-loops is bounded by  $O(nm)$ , then the total running time is  $O(nm^2)$ .

Before the proof, we start with some notation. Given a flow  $f$  in  $G$ , we use  $G_f$  to denote its residual graph and  $c_f(u, v) > 0$  to denote the residual capacity of an edge  $(u, v)$  in  $G_f$ . Also recall that  $(u, v)$  in  $G_f$  implies that either  $(u, v) \in E$  or  $(v, u) \in E$ . Given two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , we let  $\delta_f(u, v)$  denote the shortest path distance from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_f$ : the minimum length (or number of hops) of a path from  $u$  to  $v$ . (Again, it only depends on edges in  $G_f$  and has nothing to do with their residual capacities.)

Basic observation: Let  $p$  be an augmenting path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G_f$ . Recall that capacity of  $p$  is

$$c_f(p) = \min \left\{ c_f(u, v) : (u, v) \text{ is on } p \right\} > 0$$

We say that an edge  $(u, v)$  on  $p$  is critical if  $c_f(u, v) = c_f(p)$ . It is easy to show that after augmenting  $f$  using  $p$ ,  $(u, v)$  disappears from  $G_f$ . To see this, we let  $f'$  denote the new flow in  $G$  after augmenting  $f$  using  $p$ . Consider the following two cases:

- 1 If  $(u, v)$  is a forward edge, meaning that  $(u, v) \in G$  and  $c_f(u, v) = c(u, v) - f(u, v) > 0$ , then we have

$$f'(u, v) = f(u, v) + c_f(p) = f(u, v) + c_f(u, v) = c(u, v)$$

- 2 If  $(u, v)$  is a reverse edge, meaning that  $(v, u) \in G$  and  $c_f(u, v) = f(v, u) > 0$ , then we have

$$f'(v, u) = f(v, u) - c_f(p) = f(v, u) - c_f(u, v) = 0$$

In both cases, it is clear that  $(u, v)$  is no longer an edge in  $G_{f'}$ . Also prove by yourself the following claim: If  $(u, v)$  is not an edge in  $G_f$  but reappears in  $G_{f'}$ , then  $(v, u)$  must be on the path  $p$ .

We start the proof with the following crucial lemma:

### Lemma

*If we run Edmonds-Karp on  $G = (V, E)$ , then for every vertex  $v \in V$ , the shortest-path distance  $\delta_f(s, v)$  in the residual graph  $G_f$  increases monotonically with each flow augmentation.*

Let  $f$  be a flow and  $p$  be a shortest path from  $s$  to  $t$  in the residual graph. Let  $f'$  denote the new flow after augmenting  $f$  using  $p$ . Let  $v$  be a vertex in  $V$ , and we assume for contradiction that

$$\delta_{f'}(s, v) < \delta_f(s, v) \quad (1)$$

Without loss of generality, we let  $v$  be a vertex with the minimum  $\delta_{f'}(s, v)$  among all vertices that satisfy (1).



Let  $p = s \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v$  denote a shortest path from  $s$  to  $v$  in  $G_{f'}$ .  
So  $(u, v)$  is an edge in  $G_{f'}$ . We have

$$\delta_{f'}(s, u) = \delta_{f'}(s, v) - 1$$

The way we chose  $v$  implies that the distance from  $s$  to  $u$  did not decrease:  $\delta_{f'}(s, u) \geq \delta_f(s, u)$ . We claim that  $(u, v)$  cannot be an edge in  $G_f$ : Otherwise, if  $(u, v)$  is in  $G_f$ , then

$$\delta_{f'}(s, v) = \delta_{f'}(s, u) + 1 \geq \delta_f(s, u) + 1 \geq \delta_f(s, v)$$

contradicting with our assumption (1).

Now how come  $(u, v) \notin G_f$  but  $(u, v) \in G_{f'}$ ? By an earlier lemma, this can only happen if  $(v, u)$  is on  $p$ , a shortest path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G_f$ . Note that  $u$  is the successor of  $v$  on  $p$ . This implies (why?)

$$\delta_f(s, u) = \delta_f(s, v) + 1$$

To summarize, we have

$$\delta_f(s, v) = \delta_f(s, u) - 1 \leq \delta_{f'}(s, u) - 1 = \delta_{f'}(s, v) - 2$$

contradicting with our assumption (1).

We prove that the total number of while-loops in Edmonds-Karp is  $O(nm)$ . First note that there are at most  $2m$  pairs  $(u, v)$  of vertices that can appear as an edge in a residual graph during the execution of Edmonds-Karp: either  $(u, v) \in E$  or  $(v, u) \in E$ . Second, note that in each while-loop, at least one edge on the augmenting path  $p$  must be critical, by definition. Finally we will show that for each of the  $2m$  pairs  $(u, v)$  that may appear as an edge in a residual graph, it can serve as a critical edge for at most  $n/2$  times, during the execution of Edmonds-Karp. It follows that the number of while-loops is no more than

$$2m \cdot (n/2) = O(nm)$$

To prove the last claim, we note that whenever  $(u, v)$  is a critical edge, it disappears from the residual graph after augmenting the flow. So before  $(u, v)$  becomes critical again, it has to first reappear in the residual graph. We show that from the time when  $(u, v)$  is critical (and disappears) to the time when it reappears in the residual graph, the distance from  $s$  to  $u$  in the residual graph must increase by at least 2. It then follows that  $(u, v)$  can serve as a critical edge for at most  $n/2$  times because at any time,  $\delta_f(s, u)$  is either  $\leq n - 1$  or  $+\infty$ . Once  $\delta_f(s, u)$  becomes  $+\infty$ , it remains  $+\infty$  ever after and  $(u, v)$  can never be a critical edge again.

Now assume  $(u, v)$  is a critical edge in the  $i$ th while-loop and reappears again in the residual graph after the  $j$ th while-loop, where  $i < j$ . Let  $f$  denote the flow at the beginning of the  $i$ th while-loop and let  $f'$  denote the flow at the beginning of the  $j$ th while-loop. Since  $(u, v)$  is critical in the  $i$ th while-loop, we know  $(u, v)$  is on the augmenting path, a shortest path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G_f$ . This implies that  $\delta_f(s, v) = \delta_f(s, u) + 1$ . On the other hand, because  $(u, v)$  reappears after the  $j$ th while-loop,  $(v, u)$  must be on the augmenting path used in the  $j$ th while-loop, a shortest path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G_{f'}$ . This implies  $\delta_{f'}(s, u) = \delta_{f'}(s, v) + 1$  and thus,

$$\delta_{f'}(s, u) = \delta_{f'}(s, v) + 1 \geq \delta_f(s, v) + 1 = \delta_f(s, u) + 2$$

So far we have been working on a seemingly very basic version of the maximum flow problem: only the edges have capacities and no antiparallel edges are allowed (i.e.,  $(u, v) \in E$  implies  $(v, u) \notin E$ ). It turns out that many variants and extensions of maximum flow, some of which may seem much more difficult to solve, can all be easily reduced to this basic setting:

- 1 Antiparallel edges
- 2 Vertex capacities (Exercise in HW 7)
- 3 Multiple sources and sinks (Exercise in HW 7)

First, any algorithm for finding a maximum flow in the basic setting can be used to deal with graphs with antiparallel edges. To see this, we modify  $G$  to get  $G'$  as follows: For every two antiparallel edges  $(u, v)$  and  $(v, u) \in E$ , add a new vertex  $w$  and replace  $(u, v)$  with  $(u, w)$  and  $(w, v)$ . Also set  $c(u, w) = c(w, v)$  to be the capacity  $c(u, v)$  of the original edge  $(u, v)$ . It is clear that the new graph  $G'$  has no antiparallel edges and thus, is reduced. It can be shown that  $G'$  is essentially equivalent to  $G$ : a maximum flow in  $G'$  has the same value as a maximum flow in  $G$ . Moreover, there is clearly a one-to-one correspondence between flows in  $G'$  and flows in  $G$ . Given any maximum flow in  $G'$ , we can use to construct efficiently a maximum flow in  $G$ .

Second, to work with multiple sources  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  and multiple sinks  $t_1, \dots, t_\ell$ , we only need to add a new supersource vertex  $s$  and a new supersink vertex  $t$ , an edge from  $s$  to each  $s_i$  and an edge from each  $t_i$  to  $t$ , all with capacity  $c(s, s_i) = c(t_i, t) = +\infty$ . Denote the new graph by  $G'$ . One of the exercises asks you to show that a maximum flow in  $G'$  has the same value as a maximum flow in  $G$ . Also given any maximum flow in  $G'$ , one can construct a maximum flow in  $G$  efficiently. So again, any algorithm for the basic setting can be used to deal with multiple sources and sinks. An exercise in HW 7 asks you to work on the extension with vertex capacities.



Moreover, many combinatorial problems can be cast as (or reduced to) maximum-flow problems, and we can use a maximum flow algorithm to solve them. The correctness of many such reductions crucially uses the following integrality theorem: Given a flow  $f$  in  $G = (V, E)$ , we say  $f$  is integer-valued if  $f(u, v)$  is a nonnegative integer for all edges  $(u, v)$  in  $G$ .

### Theorem

*If the capacity function  $c$  is integer-valued, then there exists at least one maximum flow that is integer-valued. Moreover, the Ford-Fulkerson method (or any of its implementations, e.g., Edmonds-Karp) outputs a maximum and integer-valued flow.*

It is clear that not every maximum flow is integer-valued, even if all the capacities are integers. The theorem only says that, if the capacities are integers, then there is at least one integer-valued maximum flow and Ford-Fulkerson finds one such flow. The proof uses induction, by showing that at the beginning of every while loop of Ford-Fulkerson,  $f$  is integer-valued. It is easy to see that if  $f$  is integer-valued at the beginning of a while-loop, then the residual capacities of edges in  $G_f$  are integers as well. Thus, the capacity of any augmenting path in  $G_f$  is an integer and the augmentation results in a new integer-valued flow.

We present an application of maximum flow: Maximum Bipartite Matching. Some notation: An undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is bipartite if  $V$  can be partitioned into  $L \cup R$  such that  $L$  and  $R$  are disjoint and every edge has one vertex from  $L$  and one from  $R$ . Given a bipartite undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a matching is a subset of edges  $M \subseteq E$  such that every vertex  $v \in V$  is incident to at most one edge in  $M$ . A maximum matching is a matching of maximum cardinality. In the Maximum Bipartite Matching problem, we are given a bipartite undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and are asked to find a maximum matching in  $G$ .

We give a reduction from Maximum Bipartite Matching to the maximum flow problem as follows: Given any bipartite graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we construct a directed graph  $G' = (V', E')$  as follows: Add two new vertices source  $s$  and sink  $t$  so that

$$V' = V \cup \{s, t\}$$

Let  $V = L \cup R$  be the vertex partition of  $G$ , then replace each undirected edge by a directed edge from  $L$  to  $R$ ; For each  $u \in L$  add a directed edge  $(s, u)$  and for each  $v \in R$  add  $(v, t)$ .

To summarize,  $E'$  is the following union:

$$\{(s, u) : u \in L\} \cup \{(u, v) : (u, v) \in E, u \in L\} \cup \{(v, t) : v \in R\}$$

So  $|E'| = |E| + 2|V| = \Theta(|E|)$ . The latter follows from the assumption that every vertex in  $G$  has  $\deg \geq 1$  so  $2|E| \geq |V|$ . To complete the construction of a maximum flow instance, we also set the capacity of each edge in  $E'$  to be 1.

It is easy to show that

### Lemma (Flow-Matching)

*If  $M$  is a matching in  $G$ , then there is an integer-valued flow  $f$  in  $G'$  with value  $|f| = |M|$ . Conversely, if  $f$  is an integer-valued flow in  $G'$ , then there is a matching  $M$  in  $G$  with  $|M| = |f|$ .*

The first part is trivial. We prove the second part.

Given an integer-valued flow  $f$  in  $G'$ , we let

$$M = \left\{ (u, v) : (u, v) \in E, u \in L, v \in R \text{ and } f(u, v) > 0 \right\} \quad (2)$$

First we show that  $M$  is a matching. To see this, because  $f$  is integer-valued, we have  $f(u, v) = 1$  if  $(u, v) \in M$ . Now if there is a vertex incident to more than one edge in  $M$ :

- 1 If this is a vertex  $u \in L$ , then the in-flow of  $u$  must be  $\geq 2$  and thus,  $f(s, u) \geq 2 > 1 = c(s, u)$ , contradiction.
- 2 If this is a vertex  $v \in R$ , then the out-flow of  $v$  must be  $\geq 2$  and thus,  $f(v, t) \geq 2 > 1 = c(v, t)$ , contradiction.

Prove by yourself that  $|M| = |f|$ .

## Theorem

*The cardinality of a maximum matching  $M$  in a bipartite graph  $G$  equals the value of a maximum flow  $f$  in  $G'$ . Moreover, let  $f$  denote the maximum flow found by Ford-Fulkerson, then  $M$  constructed from  $f$  in (2) is a maximum matching in  $G$ .*

By the integrality theorem, we know there is a maximum and integer-valued flow  $f$  in  $G'$ . Let  $M$  be a maximum matching in  $G$ . If  $|M| < |f|$ , then by the Flow-Matching lemma, there is a matching  $M'$  in  $G$  such that  $|M'| = |f| > |M|$ , contradicting with the assumption that  $M$  is maximum. If  $|M| > |f|$ , then by the Flow-Matching lemma, there is an integer-valued flow  $f'$  such that  $|f'| = |M| > |f|$ , contradicting with the assumption that  $f$  is maximum. So  $|f| = |M|$  and Ford-Fulkerson outputs such a flow.



This gives us the following algorithm for Max Bipartite Matching:

- 1 construct  $G' = (V', E')$  from  $G = (V, E)$
- 2 use Ford-Fulkerson to get an integer-valued max flow  $f$  in  $G'$
- 3 use (2) to construct from  $f$  a max matching  $M$  in  $G$

What is the running time of Ford-Fulkerson on  $G'$ ? An upper bound we used earlier is  $O(|E'| \cdot |f|)$ , where  $f$  is a maximum flow in  $G'$ . It is clear that  $|f|$  is the cardinality of a maximum matching in  $G$ , which is bounded from above by  $n$ . So the running time is  $O(nm)$ , since  $O(|E'|) = O(|E|) = O(m)$ .